Comment on Hogan and Foster: The future is here

Bertus F. Jeronimus^{1,2}, Harriëtte Riese²

¹University of Groningen, Department of Developmental Psychology, The Netherlands. ²University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Psychiatry, Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion regulation (ICPE), The Netherlands.

This response to Hogan and Foster's (2016) rethinking of personality refutes their claim that both neuroticism and personality psychology are meaningless. Paradoxically, they also argue that traits are meaningful if they predict outcomes, which in particular the neuroticism personality trait does best of all, as outlined in our comment. Moreover, their defeatist perspective on personality psychology is contrasted with several promising developments, including support for the five factors outside of their lexical roots, and alternative theories to explain personality trait covariance without latent trait factors. In this short literature overview personality psychology is presented as a highly diverse and progressive field, which we believe to have a meaningful future ahead.

Keywords: neuroticism, emotional stability, normality, fitness indicator, network perspective

In their rethinking of personality, Hogan and Foster (2016) cover a wide range of topics, but in this comment we only focus on four of them that are within the scope of our research lines.

Hogan and Foster (2016) conclude that both neuroticism and current personality psychology are meaningless. We would like to encourage them to reconsider their position. In our opinion, neuroticism is the backbone of personality (Jeronimus, 2015), and personality is the nexus of psychology in which all other topics come together (Benet-Martinez et al., 2014; Larsen & Buss., 2013). In this comment we aim to stress that i) neuroticism is meaningful and useful. Moreover, we outline that ii) personality psychology is alive and kicking, iii) Hogan and Foster overlooked support for the Big Five traits (neuroticism, extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, openness) outside of their lexical roots (John, Robins, & Pervin, 2008), and iv) the literature contains alternative theories to explain personality trait covariance beyond the conventional latent trait perspective.

First, personality theory emerged to help theorists understand mental disorders and abnormal behaviour, because the concept of that what constitutes normal is required to judge what is abnormal (Dumont, 2010; Larsen & Buss, 2013). Personality traits index most consistent between-person differences in the normal ranges of thoughts, feelings, physiology, and actions across time and situations, within a given culture or subpopulation (John et al., 2008). Thus, one's characteristic levels of feelings of anxiety and depression are part of personality as facet traits within the neuroticism domain (Riese, Ormel, Aleman, Servaas, & Jeronimus, 2015). Whereas a sudden significant rise in anxiety or depression without a relevant trig-

Correspondence to Bertus F. Jeronimus, Department of Developmental Psychology, University of Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: b.f.jeronimus@rug.nl

gering context (i.e., the death of a partner, or diagnosis of a serious illness) or with a persistent course trajectory may be clinically diagnosed as a mental disorder (DSM-5, APA, 2013).

High neuroticism is the strongest and most commonly used predictor for, among others, the development of all common mental disorders and their symptoms (Jeronimus, Kotov, Riese, & Ormel, 2016), as well as somatic health service use, social, educational, occupational functioning, wealth, well-being, mating success, and longevity (Cuijpers, Smit, Penninx, de Graaf, Ten Have, & Beekman, 2010; Ozer & Benet-Martinez, 2006; Roberts, Kuncel, Shiner, Caspi, & Goldberg, 2007; Steel, Schmidt, & Shultz, 2008). Hogan and Foster (2016) argue that the meaningfulness of traits is defined by the outcomes they can predict (p. 40). Based on the reviewed evidence above, partly mentioned in their paper, one may expect they would embrace neuroticism, rather than evaluate it as meaningless. Paradoxically, and despite all this, Hogan and Foster even postulate that "seeking acceptance, status, and meaning is biologically mandated; being neurotic is not" (p. 39). They thus ignore the known genetic (Nivard, Middeldorp, Dolan, & Boomsma, 2015; Realo et al., 2016) and neurobiological (Panksepp & Biven, 2012; Shackman, Tromp, Stockbridge, Kaplan, Tillman, & Fox, 2016) basis of neuroticism, and they ignore the associations with health and biosocial roles including partnering and parenthood (e.g., Bleidorn et al., 2013), which suggests that neuroticism is not only a central trait from a biological perspective (also see Reale, Reader, Sol, McDougall & Dingemanse, 2007; Smith & Blumstein, 2008; Wolf & Weissing, 2012), but may even be a general fitness indicator (Miller, 2001; Buss, 2012).

Second, although we support Hogan and Foster's passionate plea for theories to explain personality taxonomies, we do not share their defeatist perspective on personality psychology at large. Next to the predictive power of per-

sonality traits for lifespan development and outcomes (Caspi et al., 2016) several promising developments in personality psychology can be observed (see Benet-Martinez et al., 2014).

Third, Hogan and Foster (2016) largely overlooked available evidence for the Big Five trait factors outside of their lexical roots, including objective measures in extensive field work (e.g., behavioural residue, see Gosling, 2008), laboratory studies (Wrzus & Mehl, 2015), and especially ecological momentary assessment techniques that enrich our understanding of personality processes at the intra-individual level (Van der Krieke et al., 2015; Wrzus, Wagner, & Riediger, 2015). There is also an increasing understanding of personality based behaviour and differential reactions to situations (Fleeson & Jayawickreme, 2015; Laceulle, Jeronimus, Van Aken, & Ormel, 2015; Ormel, VonKorff, Jeronimus, & Riese, 2017; Shackman et al., 2016) and numerous creative study designs that show how people with specific personality trait profiles tend to select themselves into environments that match these propensities (e.g., Ciani, Capiluppi, Veronese, & Sartori, 2007; Jeronimus et al., 2014; Rentfrow, Gosling, Jokela, Stillwell, Kosinski, & Potter, 2013).

Fourth, their debate about the latent trait perspective would have been enriched by a discussion of several proposed alternative explanations for the high probability of possessing a specific combination of trait characteristics (Ormel et al., 2017). The network perspective (Cramer et al., 2012), for example, holds that the synchronous development of personality components arises from shared external forces (environments) and developmental pressures including genetic influences (cf. Jeronimus, 2015; Kendler, Zachar, & Craver, 2011). In this scenario, latent factors are not required to explain the clustering of co-occurring characteristics in a personality configuration (Kruis & Maris, 2016). Taken together, our short literature overview suggests that personality psychology is a highly diverse and progressive field, which we believe to have a meaningful future ahead.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.
- Benet-Martinez, V., Donnellan, M. B., Fleeson, W., Fraley, R. C., Gosling, S. D., King, L. A., . . . Funder, D. C. (2014). Six visions for the future of personality psychology. In M. Mikulincer, & R. Larsen (Eds.), *APA handbook of personality and social psychology* (p. 665-689). Washington: American Psychological Association.
- Bleidorn, W., Klimstra, T. A., Denissen, J. J. A., Rentfrow, P. J., Potter, J., & Gosling, S. D. (2013). Personality maturation around the world A cross-cultural examination of the social investment theory. *Psychological Science*, 24, 2530. doi:10.1177/0956797613498396
- Buss, D. M. (2012). *Evolutionary psychology* (4th ed.). Boston, MA.: Pearson.
- Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Harrington, H., Hogan, S., Ramrakha, S., . . . Moffitt, T. E. (2016). Childhood forecast ing of a small segment of the population with large economic burden. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *1*, 0005. doi:10.1038/s41562-016-0005
- Ciani, A. S. C., Capiluppi, C., Veronese, A., & Sartori, G. (2007). The adaptive value of personality differences revealed by small

- island population dynamics. European Journal of Personality, 21, 3-22. doi:10.1002/per.595
- Cramer, A. O. J., Van der Sluis, S., Noordhof, A., Wichers, M., Geschwind, N., Aggen, S. H., . . . Borsboom, D. (2012). Measurable like temperature or mereological like flocking? On the nature of personality traits. *European Journal of Personality*, 26, 451-459. doi:10.1002/per.1879
- Cuijpers, P., Smit, F., Penninx, B. W. J. H., de Graaf, R., Ten Have, M., & Beekman, A. T. F. (2010). Economic costs of neuroticism: A population-based study. *Archives of General Psychiatry.*, 67, 1086. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.130.
- Dumont, F. (2010). A history of personality psychology: Theory, science, and research from Hellenism to the twenty-first century. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Fleeson, W., & Jayawickreme, E. (2015). Whole trait theory. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 56, 82-92. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.10.009
- Gosling, S. (2008). Snoop: What your stuff says about you. New York: Basic Books.
- Hogan, R., & Foster, J. (2016). Rethinking personality. *International Journal of Personality Psychology*, 2, 37-43.
- Jeronimus, B. F. (2015). Environmental influences on neuroticism: A story about emotional (in)stability. Groningen, The Netherlands: University of Groningen. doi:10.13140/2.1.3452.2407
- Jeronimus, B. F., Kotov, R., Riese, H., & Ormel, J. (2016). Neuroticism's prospective association with mental disorders halves after adjustment for baseline symptoms and psychiatric history, but the adjusted association hardly decays with time: A meta-analysis on 59 longitudinal/prospective studies with 443,313 participants. *Psychological Medicine*, 46, 2883-2906. doi:10.1017/S0033291716001653
- Jeronimus, B. F., Riese, H., Sanderman, R., & Ormel, J. (2014). Mutual reinforcement between neuroticism and life experiences: A five-wave, 16-year study to test reciprocal causation. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 107, 751. doi: 10.1037/a0037009
- John, O. P., Robins, R., & Pervin, L. A. (2008). *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (3rd ed.). New York: Guilford
- Kendler, K. S., Zachar, P., & Craver, C. (2011). What kinds of things are psychiatric disorders? *Psychological Medicine*, 41, 1143-1150. doi:10.1017/S0033291710001844
- Kruis, J., Maris, G. (2016) Three representations of the Ising model. *Scientific reports*, 6:34175. doi: 10.1038/srep34175
- Laceulle, O. M., Jeronimus, B. F., Van Aken, M. A. G., & Ormel, J. (2015). Why not everybody gets their fair share of stress: Adolescent's perceived relationship affection mediates associations between temperament and subsequent stressful social events. *European Journal of Personality*, 29, 125-37. doi:10.1002/per.1989
- Larsen, R. J., & Buss, D. M. (2013). *Personality psychology: Domains of knowledge about human nature*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Miller, G. (2001). The mating mind How sexual choice shaped the evolution of human nature. New York: Random House Anchor Books.
- Nivard, M. G., Middeldorp, C. M., Dolan, C. V., & Boomsma, D. I. (2015). Genetic and environmental stability of neuroticism from adolescence to adulthood. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 18, 746-754. doi:10.1017/thg.2015.80
- Ormel, J., VonKorff, M., Jeronimus, B. F., & Riese, H. (2017). Set-point theory and personality development: Reconciliation of a paradox. In J. Specht (Ed.), *Personality development across the lifespan* (Chapter 9, p. 119-139). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Ozer, D. J., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2006). Personality and the prediction of consequential outcomes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57, 401-421.

- doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.57.102904.190127
- Panksepp, J., & Biven, L. (2012). The archaeology of mind: Neuroevolutionary origins of human emotions. New York: Norton & Company.
- Reale, D., Reader, S. M., Sol, D., McDougall, P. T., & Dingemanse, N. J. (2007). Integrating animal temperament within ecology and evolution. *Biological Reviews*, 82, 291-318. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00010.x
- Realo, A., van der Most, P. J., Allik, J., Esko, T., Jeronimus, B. F., Kööts-Ausmees, L., . . . Ormel, J. (2016). SNP-based heritability estimates of common and specific variance in self- and informant-reported neuroticism scales. *Journal of Personality*, first view in press. doi:10.1111/jopy.12297
- Rentfrow, P. J., Gosling, S. D., Jokela, M., Stillwell, D. J., Kosinski, M., & Potter, J. (2013). Divided we stand: Three psychological regions of the united states and their political, economic, social, and health correlates. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 105, 996-1012. doi:10.1037/a0034434
- Riese, H., Ormel, J., Aleman, A., Servaas, M. N., & Jeronimus, B. F. (2015). Don't throw the baby out with the bathwater: Depressive traits are part and parcel of neuroticism. NeuroImage, 125, 1103. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.012
- Roberts, B. W., Kuncel, N. R., Shiner, R., Caspi, A., & Goldberg, L. R. (2007). The power of personality the comparative validity of personality traits, socioeconomic status, and cognitive ability for predicting important life outcomes. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *2*, 313-345.
- doi:10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00047.x

- Shackman, A. J., Tromp, D. P. M., Stockbridge, M. D., Kaplan, C. M., Tillman, R. M., & Fox, A. S. (2016). Dispositional negativity: An integrative psychological and neurobiological perspective. *Psychological Bulletin*, 142, 1275-1314. doi:10.1037/bul0000073
- Smith, B. R., & Blumstein, D. T. (2008). Fitness consequences of personality: A meta-analysis. *Behavioral Ecology*, 19, 448-455. doi:10.1093/beheco/arm144
- Steel, P., Schmidt, J., & Shultz, J. (2008). Refining the relationship between personality and subjective well-being. *Psycholo*gical Bulletin, 134, 138-161. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.134.1.138
- Van der Krieke, L., Jeronimus, B. F., Blaauw, F. J., Wanders, R. B. K., Emerencia, A. C., Schenk, H. M., . . . Jonge, P. D. (2015). HowNutsAreTheDutch (HoeGekIsNL): A crowdsourcing study of mental symptoms and strengths. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 25, 123-44. doi:10.1002/mpr.1495
- Wolf, M., & Weissing, F. J. (2012). Animal personalities: Consequences for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27, 452-461. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.05.001
- Wrzus, C., & Mehl, M. R. (2015). Lab and/or field? Measuring personality processes and their social consequences. *European Journal of Personality*, 29, 250-271. doi:10.1002/per.1986
- Wrzus, C., Wagner, G. G., & Riediger, M. (2015). Personalitysituation transactions from adolescence to old age. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 110, 782-99. doi:10.1037/pspp0000054

Received January 31, 2017 Accepted February 15, 2017